

Institutional grant funding can be an important ingredient in transformative student success efforts. But many colleges and universities miss out on grant opportunities because they don't understand how to take advantage of them. In service to Student Success Collaborative partners, EAB has done in-depth research on the drivers of institutional grant success and found seven persistent myths about grants that need to be dispelled.

## Myths X

The availability and frequency of grant opportunities is shrinking.

## Truths <

The availability of grant opportunities is growing outside of traditionally known and established government sources, in private, corporate, and regional consortiums and partnerships.

200% increase

in state/private student success grant initiatives from 2016 to 2017



**25%** increase in private foundation funding

Competition is too great for available grants—it's not worth the effort to apply.

The level of competition is smaller than many may think due to priority mismatches and guideline errors.







On average, only 20% of applications for federal, state, and private grants meet established guidelines and are ultimately reviewed.

To win, apply often.

To win, apply what you know from previously funded requests, current grantees, and grant research. Follow your mission, not the money!

1 in 15 chance when applying blindly



1in 5 chance

when proposal is aligned with institutional mission and vision of the funder

Grant strategy is the responsibility of the proposal writer or project owner.

Grant strategy must be set at the institutional level to ensure a college has carefully assessed grant readiness, availability of resources, appropriate data collection efforts, and sustainability.



Finance, IE/IR, Enrollment, Student Affairs, Faculty, External Stakeholders (External stakeholders include communitybased organizations, workforce development board, and industry)

Grant reviewers tend to favor select institutions.

Reviewers favor colleges that clearly show a match between the stated objectives of the grant program and the institutional proposal.



provide a grant contact and offer informational sessions and/or webinars prior to grant deadlines. Many funders are eager to learn about new and promising programs before the deadline!

Institutional need is the greatest factor in the review process.

Institutional need is one of five factors funders pay particular attention to, in addition to program plan, budget,

evaluation, and sustainability plan.

Top Grant Rubric Areas #1 What is the problem?

#2 What is the solution, and are the outcomes realistic?

**#3** Is the team qualified to lead this project?

#4 How much does it cost?

**#5** Can the work be sustained after the funding is gone?



Funders give only to organizations they know.

Funders give to organizations that can assist in advancing their goals and help to solve a societal challenge at scale.

Funders

70%

have a systemized review process that is impartial to personal relationships 30% have a board rev

have a board review process that could be skewed by personal relationships

